

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,397	02/04/2004	Peter Hofmann	028987.52962US	2742	
23911 7590 09/18/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WILHELM, TIMOTHY		
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
	•		-		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/770,397	HOFMANN, PETER	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Timothy D. Wilhelm	3616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu · Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication.	
Status		•.		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-13 and 15-17 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3616

٦,

DETAILED ACTION

This office action has been made in response to an amendment filed by 1. Applicant on 6/22/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,3-8,10,12,13,16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishitake (JP 3-284443). Nishitake discloses a side impact protective apparatus that is incorporated into a side door 3 of a motor vehicle 1, wherein said side door includes an external sheet and an interior element 6, said side impact protective device comprising a gas source 13; a one-piece covering 11 including an upper edge side region 14, a lower edge side region, and a front face interconnecting the upper 14 and lower edge side regions; and a gas bag 12 that, when deployed, extends upward along an interior of the side door's window 4, wherein the upper side region 14 is connected to the lower side region along a connection line, as seen in Fig. 4, extending between spaced fastenings disposed at distances from longitudinal ends of the gas bag and adjacent a lower gas bag edge, and also wherein the connection line forms a pivot axis for the upper edge side region 14 of the covering 11 and said front face includes a weakening defined therein. Regarding claims 7 and 12, Fig. 4 of Nishitake discloses

Art Unit: 3616

several boreholes, which lie on a common, arch-like formed central line form the weakening on the front face.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishitake in view of Tajima et al. Nishitake discloses the claimed invention except for an embedded net-like fabric insert that is provided inside a carrier element of the covering 30 at least adjoining the side impact protective apparatus 10. Tajima et al, however, teaches an air bag device with M an embedded fabric insert 125 formed by a woven cloth 125a with a lattice pattern. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the net-like fabric insert 125 of Tajima et al into the side impact protective device 10 of Nishitake in order to easily and more controllably contain the undeployed gas bag.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the Nishitake reference does not disclose all limitations of newly amended claim 1 is based on the assumption that the cover being

Application/Control Number: 10/770,397

Art Unit: 3616

referred to by Examiner is the cover portion of the vehicle door represented by reference numeral 9. However, as described in the above rejection, the cover in the Nishitake reference to which Examiner refers as corresponding with the cover claimed by the limitations of claim 1 of the present invention is represented in Figs. 3-5 of Nishitake by reference numeral 11, which is disclosed in the figures as meeting all the limitations of newly amended claim 1. Thus, Examiner maintains his rejection for these reasons and those stated in the actual rejection above.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Wilhelm whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/770,397

Art Unit: 3616

272-6980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy D Wilhelm

Page 5

Examiner
Art Unit 3616

TDW

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600